

Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Manufacturing Systems – ICMaS ISSN 1842-3183

University POLITEHNICA of Bucharest, Machine and Manufacturing Systems Department Bucharest, Romania

EXPERIMENTAL RESEARCH ON MEASURING CUTTING EFFORTS DURING ALUMINIUM ALLOYS DRILLING

Sorin Mihai CROITORU, Dănuț OPREA

Abstract: In the paper there is presented experimental research and results interpretation upon the measurement of the feed cutting force and cutting torsion moment in case of deep drilling of some aluminium alloys. There were used drills having the active part made of sintered metal carbides. The experimental stand, cutting regime parameters, obtained diagrams, their interpretation and corresponding exponential relationships are presented.

Key words: Experimental stand, Cutting efforts, Deep drilling, Aluminium alloys.

1. INTRODUCTION

The experimental research had the aim to measure total feed cutting force and total torsion cutting moment in case of deep holes drilling [4, 5, 7, 8]. The reason to chose these cutting efforts measurement in order to study the cutting capacity [3, 9, 10] were two: first, they are the real efforts that load the technological system and second, their measurement is relatively easy to do, with an experimental stand which is very simple and reliable.

The experimental research was done on an original stand assembled on the milling machine for cutting tools TOS CELAKOWICE - Czechoslovakia, with the drill fixed in the spindle of the machine tool.

Measurement of the cutting efforts was done by means of a dynamometer, which uses stress gauges (Fig. 1).

The notations in Fig. 1 are the following: 1 - supporting shell; 2 - body; 3 - fixing bolt; 4 - measuring shaft; 5, 6 - screw and washer; 7 - radial ball bearing; 8 - flange; 9, 10 - screw and Grower washer; 11, 12 - screw and Grower washer; 13 - supports for workpiece.

On the measuring shaft, two complete Wheatstone bridges of stress gauges were fixed, one to measure the axial cutting force, the other to measure the torsion cutting moment. The measured values of the cutting efforts were set by means of an etalon mechanical dynamometer.

For the experiments, the following aluminium alloys were studied, in descendent order of hardness: ATSi12CuMgNi (135 HV), ATSi9Cu3Mg (125 HV), ATSi7CuMg03 (110HV). Each hardness mentioned in brackets was determined as average of 5 measurements for each alloy. It must be specified that there were more aluminium alloys that were studied (see Fig. 2), but in this paper we mentioned only those which had a homogeneous internal structure and lead to significant results.

The cutting tools that were used for the experiments to determine the machining ability of the aluminium alloys were drills enforced with metal carbides plates. These drills were manufactured by Carmesin S.A. Company, using HSS drills, in which the proper slot was machined and the proper metal carbide plate was fixed with silver alloy.



Fig. 1. Dynamometer.



Fig. 2. Aluminium alloy workpieces.

The used metal carbides plates were of P20 sort, rectangle, having a thickness of 2, ..., 3 mm. After their fixture the drills were sharpened with the point angle of 118°. Regarding the constructive geometry of the drills, two aspects must be mentioned: first, the rake face is plane and the rake angle is $\gamma = 5^{\circ}$ and second, the clearance face is conical, due to the conical sharpening method. The medium clearance angle is $\alpha = 15^{\circ}$ because the later experiments would use high feeds.

2. EXPERIMENTAL RESEARCH AND RESULTS

For the measurements of the axial cutting force and torsion cutting moment during the boring of aluminium alloys with drills enforced with metal carbides plates a factorial plan was set [1, 2, 6]. Because the function type of the cutting efforts was considered to be exponential Taylor type, the planned values of the cutting regime parameters were chosen depending on the available values on the machine tool. The plan is presented in Table 1.

After all measurements were done, 48 data files were obtained, one file for each planned experiment. Out of the 48 files, only 36 files will be presented, because one studied material (out of total four) had not a homogeneous internal structure, which lead to insignificant results. The obtained data, registered by means of computer data acquisition, were used to draw the variation of cutting efforts vs. time diagrams.

For example, Fig. 3 presents the diagram of the test A1 - for cutting force, B1 - for cutting moment.

The experimental results will be presented separately for each aluminium alloy, in Tables 2, 3 and 4.

As it was presented before, it must be found a complex exponential Taylor function, which will approximate as good as possible the experimental diagrams.

This means to determine the coefficients and exponents of the exponential functions considered as models:

$$F_{a} = C_{F} \cdot D^{x_{F}} \cdot s^{y_{F}} \cdot v^{z_{F}} \quad ; \quad \mathbf{M}_{t} = C_{M} \cdot D^{x_{MF}} \cdot s^{y_{M}} \cdot v^{z_{M}} . (1)$$

After calculations, the obtained values of the coefficients and exponents are presented in Table 5.

 Table 1

 Plan for experiments to determine cutting efforts at drilling

 (A1 - cutting force, B1 - cutting moment)

| | 6 | 0 | <i>´</i> |
|------------------------|------------------|---------|--------------------|
| Variable \rightarrow | Diameter | Spindle | Feed speed f_v , |
| Test FA↓ | D, mm | rpm | mm/min |
| A1 | 6 | 1000 | 50 |
| A2 | 6 | 1000 | 200 |
| A3 | 6 | 2000 | 100 |
| A4 | 6 | 2000 | 200 |
| A5 | 12 | 500 | 25 |
| A6 | 12 | 500 | 100 |
| A7 | 12 | 1000 | 50 |
| A8 | 12 | 1000 | 200 |
| A9 | 8.5 | 1000 | 100 |
| A10 | 8.5 | 1000 | 100 |
| A11 | 8.5 | 1000 | 100 |
| A12 | 8.5 | 1000 | 100 |
| B1 | 6 | 1000 | 50 |
| B2 | 6 | 1000 | 200 |
| B3 | 6 | 2000 | 100 |
| B4 | 6 | 2000 | 200 |
| B5 | 12 | 500 | 25 |
| B6 | 12 | 500 | 100 |
| B7 | 12 | 1000 | 50 |
| B8 | 12 | 1000 | 200 |
| B9 | 8.5 | 1000 | 100 |
| B10 | 8.5 | 1000 | 100 |
| B11 | 8.5 | 1000 | 100 |
| B12 | 8.5 | 1000 | 100 |



Fig. 3. Diagram cutting efforts vs. time for tests A1/B1.

Table 2

| Experimenta | l results for | material 1 | ATSi12CuMgNi |
|-------------|---------------|------------|--------------|
|-------------|---------------|------------|--------------|

| Variable → | Diameter | Spindle | Cutting | Feed | Feed | Axial cutting force | | Torsion cutting | |
|------------|----------|---------|---------|--------|--------|---------------------|-------|-----------------|---------|
| | | rpm | speed | speed | | | FA | moment MR | |
| Test | mm | rot/min | m/min | mm/min | mm/rot | Div | daN | Div | daNmm |
| A1/B1 | 6 | 1000 | 18.849 | 50 | 0.05 | 16 | 11.36 | 40 | 7.176 |
| A2/B2 | 6 | 1000 | 18.849 | 200 | 0.2 | 52 | 36.92 | 110 | 19.734 |
| A3/B3 | 6 | 2000 | 37.699 | 100 | 0.05 | 20 | 14.2 | 40 | 7.176 |
| A4/B4 | 6 | 2000 | 37.699 | 400 | 0.2 | 54 | 38.34 | 120 | 21.528 |
| A5/B5 | 12 | 500 | 18.849 | 25 | 0.05 | 34 | 24.14 | 70 | 12.558 |
| A6/B6 | 12 | 500 | 18.849 | 100 | 0.2 | 104 | 73.84 | 230 | 41.262 |
| A7/B7 | 12 | 1000 | 37.699 | 50 | 0.05 | 34 | 24.14 | 80 | 14.352 |
| A8/B8 | 12 | 1000 | 37.699 | 200 | 0.2 | 99 | 70.29 | 220 | 39.468 |
| A9/B9 | 8.5 | 1000 | 26.703 | 100 | 0.1 | 42 | 29.82 | 90 | 16.146 |
| A10/B10 | 8.5 | 1000 | 26.703 | 100 | 0.1 | 43 | 30.53 | 91 | 16.3254 |
| A11/B11 | 8.5 | 1000 | 26.703 | 100 | 0.1 | 42 | 29.82 | 93 | 16.6842 |
| A12/B12 | 8.5 | 1000 | 26.703 | 100 | 0.1 | 42 | 29.82 | 89 | 15.9666 |

Table 3

Experimental results for material 3 ATSi9CuMg

| Variable \rightarrow | Diameter | Spindle | Cutting | Feed | Feed | Axial cutting force | | Torsion cutting | |
|------------------------|----------|---------|---------|--------|--------|---------------------|-------|-----------------|---------|
| — (| | грш | speeu | speed | | D. | | noment, MA | |
| Test | mm | rot/min | m/min | mm/min | mm/rot | Div | daN | Div | daNmm |
| A25/B25 | 6 | 1000 | 18.849 | 50 | 0.05 | 20 | 14.2 | 18 | 3.2292 |
| A26/B26 | 6 | 1000 | 18.849 | 200 | 0.2 | 56 | 39.76 | 75 | 13.455 |
| A27/B27 | 6 | 2000 | 37.699 | 100 | 0.05 | 21 | 14.91 | 20 | 3.588 |
| A28/B28 | 6 | 2000 | 37.699 | 400 | 0.2 | 59 | 41.89 | 79 | 14.1726 |
| A29/B29 | 12 | 500 | 18.849 | 25 | 0.05 | 42 | 29.82 | 37 | 6.6378 |
| A30/B30 | 12 | 500 | 18.849 | 100 | 0.2 | 113 | 80.23 | 150 | 26.91 |
| A31/B31 | 12 | 1000 | 37.699 | 50 | 0.05 | 48 | 34.08 | 40 | 7.176 |
| A32/B32 | 12 | 1000 | 37.699 | 200 | 0.2 | 114 | 80.94 | 157 | 28.1658 |
| A33/B33 | 8.5 | 1000 | 26.703 | 100 | 0.1 | 49 | 34.79 | 51 | 9.1494 |
| A34/B34 | 8.5 | 1000 | 26.703 | 100 | 0.1 | 50 | 35.5 | 53 | 9.5082 |
| A35/B35 | 8.5 | 1000 | 26.703 | 100 | 0.1 | 49 | 34.79 | 54 | 9.6876 |
| A36/B36 | 8.5 | 1000 | 26.703 | 100 | 0.1 | 49 | 34.79 | 55 | 9.867 |

Table 4

Experimental results for material 4 ATSi7CuMg03

| Variable \rightarrow | Diameter | Spindle | Cutting | Feed | Feed | Axial cutting | | Torsion cutting | | |
|------------------------|----------|---------|---------|--------|--------|---------------|-------|-----------------|---------|--|
| | | rpm | speed | speed | | for | ce FA | moment MR | | |
| Test | mm | rot/min | m/min | mm/min | mm/rot | Div | DaN | Div | daNmm | |
| A37/B37 | 6 | 1000 | 18.849 | 50 | 0.05 | 28 | 19.88 | 7 | 1.2558 | |
| A38/B38 | 6 | 1000 | 18.849 | 200 | 0.2 | 66 | 46.86 | 34 | 6.0996 | |
| A39/B39 | 6 | 2000 | 37.699 | 100 | 0.05 | 31 | 22.01 | 10 | 1.794 | |
| A40/B40 | 6 | 2000 | 37.699 | 400 | 0.2 | 71 | 50.41 | 38 | 6.8172 | |
| A41/B41 | 12 | 500 | 18.849 | 25 | 0.05 | 56 | 39.76 | 14 | 2.5116 | |
| A42/B42 | 12 | 500 | 18.849 | 100 | 0.2 | 133 | 94.43 | 69 | 12.3786 | |
| A43/B43 | 12 | 1000 | 37.699 | 50 | 0.05 | 60 | 42.6 | 17 | 3.0498 | |
| A44/B44 | 12 | 1000 | 37.699 | 200 | 0.2 | 140 | 99.4 | 74 | 13.2756 | |
| A45/B45 | 8.5 | 1000 | 26.703 | 100 | 0.1 | 61 | 43.31 | 20 | 3.588 | |
| A46/B46 | 8.5 | 1000 | 26.703 | 100 | 0.1 | 61 | 43.31 | 22 | 3.9468 | |
| A47/B47 | 8.5 | 1000 | 26.703 | 100 | 0.1 | 61 | 43.31 | 21 | 3.7674 | |
| A48/B48 | 8.5 | 1000 | 26.703 | 100 | 0.1 | 61 | 43.31 | 22 | 3.9468 | |

Table 5

Experimental results processing (Taylor function coefficients and exponents)

| No | FA/MR | Tests | Material | С | x | у | z |
|----|-------|---------|-----------------------|--------|----------|----------|----------|
| 1 | FA | A1-A12 | ATSi12CuMgNi (135 HV) | 19.533 | 0.931866 | 0.786033 | 0.076323 |
| 2 | MR | B1-B12 | ATSi12CuMgNi (135 HV) | 10.925 | 0.936488 | 0.777504 | 0.063511 |
| 3 | FA | A25-A36 | ATSi9CuMg (125 HV) | 13.972 | 1.056526 | 0.706443 | 0.087758 |
| 4 | MR | B25-B36 | ATSi9CuMg (125 HV) | 8.111 | 1.007592 | 1.004101 | 0.101310 |
| 7 | FA | A37-A48 | ATSi7CuMg03 (110 HV) | 15.577 | 0.985780 | 0.612864 | 0.106433 |
| 8 | MR | B37-B48 | ATSi7CuMg03 (110 HV) | 2.807 | 0.937031 | 1.078658 | 0.264019 |

3. CONCLUSIONS

In the beginning it must be underlined that the before mentioned relationships can be used by the cutting tools manufacturer, in order to design and verify them. They also can be used by the machine tool manufacturer to design and verify the main and feed drives of the machine tool. Last, but not least, these relationships can be used by the manufacturing engineer to design a technological process.

Another aspect to be underlined is that the calculated values of the coefficients and exponents of Taylor func-

tions, by their amount, confirm the following conclusions related to the influence of feed and cutting speed upon the cutting efforts:

The most important influence element upon the cutting efforts is the diameter of the drill. Its corresponding exponent has the greatest value of all. Influence of the drill diameter upon the axial cutting force for material 3 is shown in Fig. 4. The influence upon the torsion cutting moment is similar.

The most important influence cutting regime element upon the cutting efforts is the feed. It has much greater value of its exponent than the cutting speed's value. For



Note: Notations are as following: $V_c = 15 \text{ m/min}$ f = 0.05 mm/rot FA_1 f = 0.05 mm/rot FA_2 $V_c = 35 \text{ m/min}$ $V_c = 15 \text{ m/min}$ FA_3 f=0.2 mm/rot

 FA_4 $V_c = 35 \text{ m/min}$ f=0.2 mm/rot

Fig. 4. Axial cutting force variation vs. drill diameter.



Note: Notations are as following:

 FA_1 $V_c = 15 \text{ m/min}$ D = 6 mmD = 6 mm

 $V_c = 35 \text{ m/min}$ FA_2

 FA_3 $V_c = 15 \text{ m/min}$ D = 12 mm $V_c = 35 \text{ m/min}$ FA_4 D = 12 mm

Fig. 5. Axial cutting force variation vs. feed.



Fig. 6. Axial cutting force variation vs. cutting speed.

higher values of the cutting speed, the influence of feed diminishes a little (see Fig. 5).

When the cutting speed increases the trend of cutting efforts is to decrease. However, the values of the cutting speed exponents are very low (see Fig. 6).

REFERENCES

- [1] Constantin, E. (1975). Contribuții teoretice și experimentale la prelucrarea prin strunjire cu viteze foarte mari a aliajelor de aluminium (Theoretical and experimental contributions to machining by turming with very high cutting speeds of aluminium alloys), PhD Thesis, "Transilvania" University, Braşov (in Romanian).
- [2] Ditu, V., (1997). Cercetări teoretice și experimentale privind diagnoza procesului de așchiere (Theoretical and experimental researches regarding cutting process diagnosis), PhD Thesis, "Transilvania" University, Braşov (in Romanian).
- [3] Drăgănescu, F. (1981). Contribuții la determinarea regimurilor de așchiere la frezarea aliajelor de aluminiu produse în țară (Contributions to establishing the cutting regimes in milling aluminium alloys produced in country), PhD Thesis, Polytechnical Institute, Bucharest (in Romanian).
- [4] Gheorghe, M. (1978). Cercetări privind prelucrabilitatea prin așchiere a fontelor maleabile (Researces regarding machinability of malleable irons), PhD Thesis, Polytechnical Institute, Bucharest (in Romanian).
- [5] Hatschek, R.L. Mayfield, J., (1978). Machining Aluminium, American Machinist, March, 1978.
- [6] Kurihata Kensuke et al., (1967). Cutting temperature of Aluminium and other Metals, Journal Japan Institute of Light Metals, June, 1967.
- [6] Oancea, N. et al. (1970). Contribuții la stabilirea regimurilor și a geometriei optime a sculei la finisarea prin strunjire a aliajelor de aluminium (Contributions to establishing the cutting regimes and tool optimum geometry in turning finishing of aluminium alloys), Construcția de mașini, No. 8, 1970, Bucharest (in Romanian).
- [7] Popescu, I. (1970). Contribuții la stabilirea tehnologiei optime de prelucrare a aliajelor de aluminiu pe strunguri automate (Contributions to establishing the optimal technology in machining aluminium alloys on automatic lathes), PhD Thesis, Polytechnical Institute, Bucharest (in Romanian).
- [8] Popescu, I. (1974). Așchierea aliajelor de aluminium (Machining of aluminium alloys), Edit. Tehnică, Bucharest (in Romanian).
- [9] Sarwar Rasheed Kamal (2000). Capacitatea de așchiere a sculeleor așchietoare cu partea activă armată cu depuneri din materiale dure (Cutting capacity of cutting tools with active part armed with hard materials), PhD Thesis, Politehnica University, Bucharest (in Romanian).
- [10] Străjescu, E., Minciu, C., Tănase, I., Croitoru, S. (1998). Cercetări privind capacitatea de așchiere a sculelor aschietoare și prelucrabilitatea prin așchiere a materialelor (Contributions regarding cutting capacity of cutting tools and machinability of materials), Construcția de Masini, No. 10-1998 (50), pp. 63-67, Bucharest (in Romanian).

Authors:

PhD, Sorin Mihai CROITORU, Assoc. Prof., University Politehnica of Bucharest, Machine and Production Systems Department,

E-mail: croitoru@artelecom.net

Eng., Dănuț OPREA, PhD Student, University Politehnica of Bucharest, Machine and Production Systems Department,